Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/779,334	SJOEHOLM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer I. Harle	1654
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Jennifer I. Harle</u> .	(3)	
(2) Elias Lambiris.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2005	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102		
Claims discussed: 28-49		
Prior art documents discussed: Hiller and Lehmann	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the second control of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	on. The examiner will provide a we arate record of the substance of the su	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
(Everyings/CDE Cianatura)		Cignoture (formalists)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative	oignature – ir appropriate)



ú

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Declaration Under 37 CFR 1.132 was discussed and the missing lines from page one were discussed. Applicant agreed to forward a clearer copy due to the missing lines from the fax copy. The examiner will submit the new page one for scanning. Proposed amendments to the claims were authorized in order to clarify the claim language..